Timothy Fortson

United States District Court

for the

Southern District of Ohio

Cl	Plaintiff V. narles Henness, et al. Defendant) Civil Action No.	1:22-cv-410
	JUDGME	ENT IN A CIVIL ACTION	1
The court has orc	lered that (check one):		
☐ the plaintiff (a defendant (name)		dollars (\$	recover from the the amount of , which includes prejudgment
interest at the rate	e of %, plus post jud	Igment interest at the rate of	% per annum, along with costs.
other: Fortson's specifically recom dismisses those cla objections to the J	objections (Doc. 20) are overruled and the semended in the January R&R should go forwaims with prejudice. As to those remaining cune R&R (Doc. 27) and, contrary to the recall remaining claims with prejudice. Finally	January R&R (Doc. 16) is adopted, thereby disnerard. And because this is Fortson's second failed claims, the Court rejects the June R&R (Doc. 27	ndant (name) nissing all claims except those the Magistrate Judge attempt to plead a viable cause of action, the Court). More specifically, the Court sustains Defendants' rendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 22) Compelling Discovery (Doc. 35).
☐ tried by a jury rendered a verdice			presiding, and the jury has
☐ tried by Judgwas reached.	e	witho	out a jury and the above decision
decided by Ju	ldge Douglas R. Cole		on a motion for
Summary J	udgment.		
Date:2/	23/24	CLERK OF CO	OURT SINTES DISTRICA